

ACCESSIBILITY 2.1

What's new in the upcoming Revision of the International Accessibility Guidelines WCAG 2.1?



01

Brief history of Web Accessibility

02

What's coming up in WCAG 2.1?

03

What can you already use today?

Note: these are the supplementary slides for a screencast which can be found on Media Exchange at https://mediaexchange.accenture.com/media/t/1 zdidx2xz

A BRIEF HISTORY OF A11Y GUIDELINES





- Not much to build upon
- Not really testable
- Effectively inhibited dynamic content
- Modeled around capabilities of browsers and assistive technologies of the time (think layout tables, font tags & DHTML snowflakes)

WCAG 2.0



- Technology-agnostic and therefore applicable to more than just HTML
- Completely testable
- Comes with hundreds of techniques and easy-tofollow code and design examples
- Still relevant!

WCAG 2.1



- Backwards-compatible
 Dot-Release
- 2 new Guidelines & 21 additional Success Criteria
- Mobile Web covered
- Additional guidance for vision and cognitive impairments

WCAG 3.0



- Completely restructured
- W3C is already working on it / collecting input from the community

Note: Info based on W3C Working Draft 07 December 2017 and is subject to change. Only Level A & AA criteria are listed

1.3.4 IDENTIFY COMMON PURPOSE

The purpose of each user interface component can be programmatically determined.

1.4.10 **REFLOW**

Content can be resized up to 400% without the loss of content or functionality.

1.4.11 GRAPHICS CONTRAST

The visual presentation of certain UI objects have a contrast ratio of at least 3:1 against adjacent colors.

1.4.12 TEXT SPACING

No loss of content or functionality if the user adjusts line height, letter or paragraph spacing.

1.4.13 CONTENT ON HOVER OR FOCUS

Things to do when pointer hover or keyboard focus triggers additional content.

Note: Info based on W3C Working Draft 07 December 2017 and is subject to change. Only Level A & AA criteria are listed

2.2.6 ACCESSIBLE AUTHENTICATION

Essential steps of an authentication process which rely upon recalling or transcribing information.

2.4.11 CHARACTER KEY SHORTCUTS

A mechanism is available to turn keyboard shortcuts off or to remap it.

2.2.7 INTERRUPTIONS

A mechanism is available to postpone and suppress interruptions and changes in content.

2.4.12 LABEL IN NAME

User interface components with labels that include text or images of text, the name contains the text presented.

2.2.8 TIMEOUTS

Users are warned about the estimated length of inactivity that generates a data loss.

Note: Info based on W3C Working Draft 07 December 2017 and is subject to change. Only Level A & AA criteria are listed

2.5 POINTER ACCESSIBLE

Make it easier for users to operate pointer functionality.

2.5.5 CONCURRENT INPUT MECHANISMS

Web content does not restrict use of input modalities available on a platform.

2.5.2 POINTER CANCELLATION

The down-event of the pointer is not used to execute any part of the function, completion of the function is on the up-event.

2.5.3 TARGET SIZE

The size of the target for pointer inputs is at least 44 by 22 CSS pixels (44 by 44 at Level AAA).

Note: Info based on W3C Working Draft 07 December 2017 and is subject to change. Only Level A & AA criteria are listed

2.6 ADDITIONAL SENSOR INPUTS

2.6.1 MOTION ACTUATION

Functionality which can be operated by device motion or user motion can also be operated by user interface components and can be disabled to prevent accidental actuation.

2.6.2 ORIENTATION

Content does not restrict its view and operation to a single display orientation, such as portrait or landscape.

Note: Info based on W3C Working Draft 07 December 2017 and is subject to change. Only Level A & AA criteria are listed

3.2.6 STATUS CHANGES

Status messages can be programmatically determined through role or properties such that they can be presented to the user by assistive technologies without receiving focus.

WHAT CAN YOU ALREADY USE TODAY?

While it is not recommended to use any parts of WCAG 2.1 in a client contract until it reaches final status, most if not all additional guidance can already be used in projects.

A lot of the new criteria originate from best practices and human interface guidelines (e.g. iOS, Android) developed after WCAG 2.0 was finished. Therefore, there shouldn't be restrictions on why you shouldn't use them.

Some examples:

- Touch targets large enough for touch UIs
- Sectioning content & landmarks to structure the code
- Don't rely on device orientation
- Consider additional scenarios like voice operation
- Use highly visible focus indicators
- Use sufficient contrast also in icons & other graphics
- Test in the operating systems' various contrast modes

FURTHER READING

- Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) 2.1 W3C Working Draft 07 December 2017: https://www.w3.org/TR/WCAG21/
- WCAG 2.1 and support materials on Github: https://github.com/w3c/wcag21
- WCAG 2.1: The final list of candidate Success Criteria is here http://davidmacd.com/blog/wcag-2.1-quick-guide.html
- Mobile Accessibility: How WCAG 2.0 and Other W3C/WAI Guidelines Apply to Mobile: http://w3c.github.io/Mobile-A11y-TF-Note/
- Watch the Video on Media Exchange:
 https://mediaexchange.accenture.com/media/1 zdidx2xz